

References

Angela L. Balsley

Dissertation References

10.12.17

Ball, S. J. (1987). *The micro-politics of the school: Towards a theory of school organization.*

New York, NY: Methuen & Co.

Bailey, T. R., & Zirkel, P. A. (2015). Frequency trends of court decisions under the Individuals

with Disabilities Education Act. *Journal of Special Education Leadership*, 28(1), 3–13.

Beyer, J. (1997). Practicing law at the margins: Surveying ethics rules for legal assistants and

lawyers who mediate. *Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics*, 11, 411-420.

Billingsley, B. S. (2004). Special education teacher retention and attrition: A critical analysis of

the research literature. *The Journal of Special Education* 38(1), 39-55.

Blasé, J. (1991). *The politics of life in schools: Power, conflict, and cooperation.* Thousand

Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Blasé, J. (1993). The micropolitics of effective school-based leadership: Teachers' perspectives.

Educational Administration Quarterly, 20(2), 142-163.

Blasé, J., & Anderson, G. (1995). *The micropolitics of educational leadership: From control to*

Empowerment. New York, NY: Teachers College Press, Columbia University.

Board of Education v. Rowley, 458 U.S. 176 (1982).

Center for Appropriate Dispute Resolution in Special Education. (2004). *Facilitated IEP*

meetings: An emerging practice. Eugene, OR.

- Center for Appropriate Dispute Resolution in Special Education. (2016). *Trends In Dispute Resolution under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)*. Retrieved from <http://www.directionservice.org/cadre/TrendsinDisputeResolutionundertheIDEA.cfm>.
- Caracelli, V. J., & Greene, J. C. (1993). Data analysis strategies for mixed-methods evaluation designs. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 15(2), 195-207.
- Chopp, D. (2012). School districts and families under the IDEA: Collaborative in theory, adversarial in fact. *Journal of the National Association of Administrative Law Judiciary*, 32(2), 423–460.
- Cope-Kasten, C. (2013). Bidding (fair) well to due process: The need for a fairer final stage in special education dispute resolution. *Journal of Law & Education*, 42(3), 501–540.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research* (Fourth). Pearson.
- Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2011). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (2nd ed.) Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Dillman, D. A., Smyth, J. D., & Melani-Christian, L. (2014). *Internet, phone, mail, and mixed mode surveys: The tailored design method*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- Engel, D. M. (1991). Law, culture, and children with disabilities: Educational rights and the construction of difference. *Duke Law Journal*, 1991(1), 166.
<http://doi.org/10.2307/1372813>
- Feinburg, E. & Beyer, J. (2000). The role of attorneys in special education mediation. Center for

Appropriate Dispute Resolution in Special Education (CADRE), Eugene, OR.

Feinburg, E., Beyer, J., & Moses, P. (2002). *Beyond mediation: Strategies for appropriate early dispute resolution in special education* (pp. 1–42). Retrieved from <http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED476294>

Foster, P. (2004). Experiences and perceptions of special education directors regarding the due process hearing system (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from: Proquest. (3151938).

Gelbwasser-Freed, L. (2009). Cooperative federalism post-Schaffer: The burden of proof and preemption in special education. *Cornell Law Faculty Publications*, 103-130.

Gilsbach, T. E. (2015). Special education due process hearing requests under IDEA: A hearing should not always be required. *Brigham Young University Education & Law Journal*, 187–201.

Greene, J.C., & Caracelli, V.J. (eds). (1997). Advances in mixed-methods evaluation: The challenges and benefits of integrating diverse paradigms. (New Directions for Evaluation, No. 74). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Greene, J.C., Caracelli, V.J., & Graham, W.F. (1989). Toward a conceptual framework for mixed-method evaluation designs. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, (11)3, 255-274.

Hernandez, S. J. (2013). Collaboration in special education: Its history, evolution, and critical factors necessary for successful implementation. *US-China Education Review B*, (3) 6, 480-498.

Hyatt, K. J., & Filler, J. (2011). LRE re-examined: Misinterpretations and unintended

- consequences. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 15(9), 1031-1045.
- Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. § 1400 (2004).
- Itkonen, T. (2007). P.L. 94-142: Policy, evolution, and landscape shift. *Issues in Teacher Education*. 16(2), 7-17.
- Johnson, R. B. & Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2004). Mixed methods research: A research paradigm whose time has come. *Educational Researcher*, 33(7), 14-26.
- Keer, S. (2000). Special education due process hearings. *Harbor House Law Press*. Retrieved from: <http://www.harborhouselaw.com/articles/dp.kerr.htm>.
- Keogh, B.K. (2007). Celebrating P.L. 94-142: The education of all handicapped children act of 1975. *Issues in Teacher Education*. 16(2), 65-69.
- Lake, J. F., & Billingsley, B. S. (2000). An analysis of factors that contribute to parent-school conflicts in special education. *Remedial and Special Education*, 21(4), 240–251.
- LeChasseur, K., Mayer, A., Welton, A., & Donaldson, M. (2016). Situating teacher inquiry: A micropolitical perspective. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 27(2), 255-274.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Lochmiller, C. R., & Lester, J. N. (2017). *An introduction to educational research: Connection methods to practice*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Malen, B. (1994). The micropolitics of education: Mapping the multiple dimensions of power

- relations in school policies. *Politics of Education Association Yearbook*, 14(167), 155-175.
- Malen, B., & Cochran, M.V. (2008). Beyond pluralistic patterns of power: Research on the micropolitics of schools. *Handbook of Educational Politics & Policy*. Routledge Handbooks Online. 148-178.
- Margolis, H. (1998). Avoiding special education due process hearings: Lessons learned from the field. *Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation*, 9(3), 233–260.
- Markowitz, J.; Ahearn, E. & Schrag, J. (2003). *Dispute resolution: A review of systems in selected states*. Alexandria, VA: National Association of State Directors of Special Education.
- Martin, E. W., Martin, R., & Terman, D. L. (1996). The legislative and litigation history of special education. *The Future of Children*, 6(1), 25-39.
- McCarthy, M. M., Cambron-McCabe, N. H., & Eckes, S. E. (2014). *Public school law: Teachers' and students' rights*. (7th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Mead, J. F (*n.d.*). *Mills v. Board of education of the District of Columbia*. Education Law. Retrieved from <http://usedulaw.com/438-mills-v-board-of-education-of-the-district-of-columbia.html>
- Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Mueller, T. G. (2004). A tale of two districts fostering the home-school partnership: conflict

prevention and alternative dispute resolution practices in special education. Doctoral dissertation, University of California at Santa Barbara, 2004. *Dissertation Abstracts International* (DIA-A), 65/06, 1-279.

Mueller, T. G. (2000). Alternative dispute resolution: A new agenda for special education policy. *Journal of Disability Policy Studies*, 20(1), 4-13.

Mueller, T. G. (2009). IEP facilitation: A promising approach to resolving conflicts between families and schools. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 41(3), 60-67.

Mueller, T. G. (2014). Litigation and special education: The past, present, and future direction for resolving conflict between parents and school districts. *Journal of Disability Policy Studies*, 1-9.

Mueller, T. G., & Piantoni, S. (2013). Actions speak louder than words: How do special education administrators prevent and resolve conflict with families. *The Journal of Special Education Apprenticeship*, 2(2), 1-15.

Neal, D., & Kirp, D. L. (1985). The allure of legalization reconsidered: The case of special education. *Law and Contemporary Problems*, 48(1), 63-87.

<http://doi.org/10.2307/1191640>

Number of formal disputes are generally low and states are using mediation and other strategies to resolve conflict. (2003). *United States General Accounting Office*, Special Education Report to Ranking Minority Member, Committee on Health Education, Labor, and Pensions, U.S. Senate. Retrieved from: <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d03897.pdf>.

- Osgood, R.L. (2008). History of special education: A struggle for equality in American public schools. Westport, CT: Greenwood.
- Onwuegbuzie, A. J., & Teddlie, C. (2003). A framework for analyzing data in mixed methods research. *Handbook of mixed methods in social and behavioral research*, 2, 397-430.
- Pasachoff, E. (2011). Special education, poverty, and limits of private enforcement. *Notre Dame Law Review*, 86(4), 413-493.
- Pasachoff, E. (2014). Advocates, federal agencies, and the education of children with disabilities. *Ohio State Journal on Dispute Resolution*, 29(3), 461-494.
- Peshkin, A. (1998). In search of subjectivity-One's own. *Educational Researcher*, 17-21.
- Pudelski, S. (2016). *Rethinking special education due process: A proposal for the next reauthorization of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*. The School Superintendents Association. Retrieved from http://www.aasa.org/uploadedFiles/Policy_and_Advocacy/Public_Policy_Resources/Special_Education/AASARethinkingSpecialEdDueProcess.pdf
- Romberg, J. (2011). The means justify the ends: Structural due process in special education law. *Harvard Journal on Legislation*, 48, 415-466.
- Schaffer v. Weast*, 546 U.S. 49, 2005
- Schuran, M.B. (2010). Characteristics of special education due process cases in Tennessee:

Implications and recommendations for policy (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from: Proquest. (3439785).

Skiba, R.J., Simmons, A.B., Ritter, S., Gibb, A.C., Rausch, M.K., Cuadrado, J. & Chung, C.

(2008). Achieving equity in special education: History, status, and current challenges. *Council for Exceptional Children*, 27(3), 264-288.

Smith, T. E. C. (2005). IDEA 2004: Another round in the reauthorization process. *Remedial and Special Education*, 26(6), 314-319.

Sparks, K. (2014). Requiring administrative exhaustion while the schools shuts down: An insurmountable barrier to seeking idea enforcement. *Michigan State Law Review*, 1161–1206.

Strax, M., Strax, C., & Cooper, B. S. (2012). *Kids in the middle: The micropolitics of special education*. Rowman & Littlefield Education.

Suchey, N. & Huefner, D. S. (1998). The state complaint procedure under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. *Exceptional Children*, 64(4), 529-542.

Thirty-eighth Annual Report to Congress on the Implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, Parts B and C. (2016). U.S. Department of Education. Retrieved from <https://www2.ed.gov/about/reports/annual/osep/2016/parts-b-c/index.html>.

Title 511 Article 7 Rules 32-47. (2014). Indiana Department of Education. Retrieved from:

<http://www.doe.in.gov/sites/default/files/specialed/art-7-english-january-2015-final-rule-update.pdf>

U.S. Department of Education. (2002). A new era: Revitalizing special education for children and their families. President's Commission on Excellence in Special Education.

Weber, M. C. (2014). In defense of IDEA due process. *Ohio State Journal on Dispute Resolution*, 29(3), 501-529.

Willner, R. (2011). Micro-politics: an underestimated field of qualitative research in political science. *German Policy Studies*, 7(3), 155.

Yell, M. L., & Dragrow, E. (2000). Litigating a free appropriate public education: The Loovas hearings and cases. *The Journal of Special Education*. 37(4), 205-214.

Yell, M. L., Rogers, D., & Lodge-Rogers. (1998). The legal history of special education: What a long, strange, strange trip its' been! *Remedial and Special Education*, 19(4), 219-228.

Yell, M. L., Ryan, J. B., Rozalski, M. E., & Katsiyannis, M. (2009). The U.S. Supreme Court and special education: 2005 to 2007. *Teaching Exceptional Children*. 41(3), 68-75.

Yin, R. K. (2014). Case study research: Design and methods. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Zirkel, P. A. (2005). A primer of special education law. *Council for Exceptional Children*, 38(1), 62-63.

Zirkel, P. A. (2007). Legal options for resolving disputes in special education. *Exceptional Parent Magazine*, 48-49.

Zirkel, P. A. (2008). Have the amendments to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act razed Rowley and raised the substantive standard for free and appropriate public education? *Journal of the National Association of Administrative Law Judiciary*, 28(2), 397-419.

Zirkel, P. A. (2015). Special education law: Illustrative basics and nuances of key IDEA components. *Teacher Education and Special Education*, 38(4). 263-275.

Zirkel, P. A., & McGuire, J. D. (2010). A roadmap to legal dispute resolution for students with disabilities. *Journal of Special Education Leadership*, 23(2), 100-112.